

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/082,343	02/26/2002	Kenichi Ueyama	219735US3	4098	
22850 7590 07/23/2007 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			COMSTOCK	COMSTOCK, DAVID C	
ALEXANDRI	ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3733		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/23/2007	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/082,343	UEYAMA ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		David Comstock	3733			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 Ma</u>	av 2007 and 18 January 2007.				
		action is non-final.				
·	since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4) 🖾	Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-7,9 and 11-19</u> is/are allowed.	•				
6)⊠	Claim(s) 8,10,20 and 21 is/are rejected.					
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	·.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 February 2002</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachmen	t(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te			
	3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:					
S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 10/082,343

Art Unit: 3733

1 2 " ·

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of Group I in the reply filed on 05 May 2007 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that it would not be a burden on the examiner to search the additional claims to multiple inventions. Upon further consideration, this is found persuasive and the restriction requirement has been withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 8, 10, 20 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kapralis et al. (4,580,547).

Kapralis et al. disclose a heat pack 10 comprising a non-removably attached outermost base sheet, e.g. 15, formed of water resistant plastic material (see Figs. 1 and 3 and col. 2, line 34). The sheet includes a plurality of heating parts 41-44. The heat pack is capable of functioning as a "hair warmer." It is further noted that the device appears to "wrap," i.e. cover, a portion of the head above the forehead and beyond an average hairline (see Fig. 3). The plastic walls are considered to be a "synthetic resin."

Application/Control Number: 10/082,343

Art Unit: 3733

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-7, 9 and 11-19 are allowable over the prior art of record.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 18 January 2007, with respect to the outstanding rejection of claims 8, 10, 20 and 21 have been fully considered but are not persuasive.

Whether the contents can escape, e.g. by evaporation, is a different question than whether gas outside of the device can enter, and the latter pertains to what is claimed. The claims recite gas permeability "such that the heating element is exposed to outside air". First, it is noted that just because liquids inside the device cannot escape, or even the liquids in a vapor form cannot escape, does not amount to a teaching against gas permeability and many gas molecules are of widely varying sizes. Thus, the device is permeable to any gas that has a molecular structure smaller than the interstices in the material. It is also noted that the limitation "such that the heating element is exposed to outside air" or "exposing a heat generating material to air through a gas-permeable sheet" can be satisfied in terms of heat transfer (for example, exposure to warm outside air will warm the heating element).

Application/Control Number: 10/082,343

Art Unit: 3733

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Comstock whose telephone number is (571) 272-4710. Please leave a detailed voice message if examiner is unavailable. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eduardo Robert can be reached at (571) 272-4719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3733

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

D. Comstock

EDUARIZO (. ROBERT SUPERVISORY FATENT EXAMINER